CITS2200 Data Structures and Algorithms

Topic 7

Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis

- Choosing abstract performance measures
 - worst case, expected case
- Asymptotic growth rates
- Why use them? Comparison in the limit. "Big O"
- Analysis of recursive programs

Reading: Weiss, Chapter 5.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 1

2. Worst Case vs Expected Case

Abstract measures of time and space will still depend on actual input data.

eg Exhaustive sequential search

1. Educational Aims

The aims of this topic are:

- 1. to develop a mathematical competency in describing and understanding algorithm performance, and
- 2. to begin to develop an intuitive feel for these mathematical properties.

It is essential for a programmer to be able to understand the capabilities and limitations of different data structures. Asymptotic analysis provides the foundation for this understanding (even though you would not expect to do such analysis on a regular basis).

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 2

Abstract time

- \bullet goal is first element in array a units
- ullet goal is last element in array a+bn units

for some constants a and b.

Different growth rates — second measure increases with n.

What measure do we use? A number of alternatives

© Tim French CITS 2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 3

© Tim French

2.1 Worst Case Analysis

Choose data which have the largest time/space requirements.

In the case of esearch, the worst case complexity is a + bn

Advantages

- relatively simple
- gives an upper bound, or *guarantee*, of behaviour when your client runs it it might perform better, but you can be sure it won't perform any worse

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 5

2.2 Expected Case Analysis

Ask what happens in the average, or "expected" case.

For eSearch, $a + \frac{b}{2}n$, assuming a uniform distribution over the input.

Advantages

- more 'realistic' indicator of what will happen in any given execution
- reduces effects of spurious/non-typical/outlier examples

For example, Tony Hoare's Quicksort algorithm is generally the fastest sorting algorithm in practice, despite it's worst case complexity being significantly higher than other algorithms.

Disadvantages

- worst case could be unrepresentative might be unduly pessimistic
 - knock on effect client processes may perform below their capabilities
 - you might not get anyone to buy it!

Since we want behaviour guarantees, we will usually consider worst case analysis in this unit.

(Note there is also 'best case' analysis, as used by second-hand car sales persons and stock brokers.)

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 6

Disadvantages

- only possible if we know (or can accurately guess) probability distribution over examples (with respect to size)
- more difficult to calculate
- often does not provide significantly more information than worst case when we look at growth rates
- may also be misleading...

© Tim French CITS 2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 7 © Tim French CITS 2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 7

3. Asymptotic Growth Rates

We have talked about comparing data structure implementations — using either an empirical or analytical approach.

Focus on analytical:

- independent of run-time environment
- improves understanding of the data structures

We said we would be interested in comparisons in terms of rates of growth.

Theoretical analysis also permits a deeper comparison which the other methods don't — comparison with the performance barrier inherent in problems...

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 9

3.1 Why Asymptopia

We would like to have a simple description of behaviour for use in comparison.

- Evaluation may be misleading. Consider the functions $t_1 = 0.002m^2$, $t_2 = 0.2m$, $t_3 = 2\log m$.
- Want a *closed form*.

eg.
$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$
 not $n+(n-1)+\cdots+2+1$

• Want simplicity.

Difficult to see what $2^{n-\frac{1}{n}}\log n^2+\frac{3}{2}n^{2-n}$ does. We want to abstract away from the smaller perturbations. . .

What simple function does it behave like?

Wish to be able to make statements like:

Searching for a given element in a block of n distinct elements using only equality testing takes n comparisons in the worst case.

Searching for a given element in an ordered list takes at least $\log n$ comparisons in the worst case.

These are *lower bounds* (on the *worst case*) — they tell us that we are never going to do any better *no matter what algorithm we choose*.

Again they reflect growth rates (linear, logarithmic)

In this section, we formalise the ideas of analytical comparison and growth rates.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 10

Solution

Investigate what simple function the more complex one *tends to* or *asymptotically approaches* as the argument approaches infinity, ie *in the limit*.

Choosing large arguments has the effect of making less important terms fade away compared with important ones.

eg. What if we want to approximate $n^4 + n^2$ by n^4 ?

How much error?

n	n^4	n^2	$\frac{n^2}{n^4 + n^2}$
1	1	1	50%
2	16	4	20%
5	625	25	3.8%
10	10 000	100	1%
20	160 000	400	0.25%
50	6250000	2500	0.04%
	1 2 5 10 20	1 1 2 16 5 625 10 10 000 20 160 000	1 1 1 1 2 16 4 5 625 25 10 10 000 100 20 160 000 400

3.2 Comparison "in the Limit"

How well does one function approximate another?

Compare growth rates. Two basic comparisons. . .

1.

$$\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

 $\Rightarrow f(n)$ grows more slowly than g(n).

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 13

3.3 'Big O' Notation

In order to talk about comparative growth rates more succinctly we use the 'big O' notation...

Definition

f(n) is O(g(n)) if there is a constant c>0 and an integer $n_0\geq 1$ such that, for all $n\geq n_0$,

$$f(n) \le cg(n)$$
.

- f "grows" no faster than g, for sufficiently large n
- growth rate of f is bounded from above by g

2.

$$\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} o 1$$
 as $n o \infty$

 $\Rightarrow f(n)$ is asymptotic to g(n).

In fact we won't even be this picky — we'll just be concerned whether the ratio approaches a constant c>0.

$$\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \to c \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

This really highlights the distinction between different orders of growth — we don't care if the constant is 0.00000000001!

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 14

Example:

Show (prove) that n^2 is $O(n^3)$.

Proof

We need to show that for some c>0 and $n_0\geq 1$,

$$n^2 \le cn^3$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. This is equivalent to

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Choosing $c=n_0=1$ satisfies this inequality.

Exercise:

Show that 5n is O(3n).

Exercise:

Show that 143 is O(1).

Exercise:

Show that for any constants a and b, an^3 is $O(bn^3)$.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 17

Re-arranging gives

$$m^3 n_0^3 \le c m^2 n_0^2$$

$$m n_0 \le c$$

$$m \le \frac{c}{n_0}$$

This is contradicted by any choice of m such that $m > \frac{c}{n_0}$. Thus the initial assumption is incorrect, and n^3 is not $O(n^2)$.

Example:

Prove that n^3 is not $O(n^2)$.

Proof (by contradiction)

Assume that n^3 is $O(n^2)$. Then there exists some c>0 and $n_0\geq 1$ such that

$$n^3 \le cn^2$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Now for any integer m>1 we have $mn_0>n_0$, and hence

$$(mn_0)^3 \le c(mn_0)^2.$$

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 18

From these examples we can start to see that big \mbox{O} analysis focuses on $\mbox{\it dominating}$ $\mbox{\it terms}.$

For example a polynomial

$$a_d n^d + a_{d-1} n^{d-1} + \dots + a_2 n^2 + a_1 n + a_0$$

$$-O(n^d)$$

— is
$$O(n^m)$$
 for any $m > d$

— is not
$$O(n^l)$$
 for any $l < d$.

Here $a_d n^d$ is the dominating term, with degree d.

For non-polynomials identifying dominating terms may be more difficult.

Most common in CS

- \bullet polynomials $1, n, n^2, n^3, \dots$
- exponentials $2^n, \dots$
- logarithmic $\log n, \dots$

and combinations of these.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 21

It may not be too difficult to express the time or space behaviour recursively, in what we call a *recurrence relation* or *recurrence equation*, but general methods for solving these are beyond the scope of this unit.

However some can be solved by common sense!

Example:

What is the time complexity of the recursive addition program from Topic 3?

4. Analysis of Recursive Programs

Previously we've talked about:

- The power of recursive programs.
- The unavoidability of recursive programs (they go hand in hand with recursive data structures).
- The potentially high computational costs of recursive programs.

They are also the most difficult programs we will need to analyse.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 22

```
public static int increment(int i) {return i + 1;}
public static int decrement(int i) {return i - 1;}

public static int add(int x, int y) {
   if (y == 0) return x;
   else return add(increment(x), decrement(y));
}
```

- if, else, ==, return, etc constant time
- increment(x), decrement(y) constant time
- add(increment(x), decrement(y))? depends on size of y

© Tim French

Recursive call is same again, except y is decremented. Therefore, we know the time for add(...,y) in terms of the time for

More generally, we know the time for size n input in terms of the time for size $n-1\ldots$

$$T(0) = a$$

 $T(n) = b + T(n-1), n > 1$

This is called a recurrence relation.

We would like to obtain a *closed form* — T(n) in terms of n.

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 25

Example:

```
public static int multiply(int x, int y) {
  if (y == 0) return 0;
  else return add(x, multiply(x, decrement(y)));
}
```

- if, else, ==, return, etc constant time
- decrement(y) constant time
- add linear in size of 2nd argument
- multiply ?

If we list the terms, its easy to pick up a pattern...

$$T(0) = a$$

$$T(1) = a + b$$

$$T(2) = a + 2b$$

$$T(3) = a + 3b$$

$$T(4) = a + 4b$$

$$T(5) = a + 5b$$
:

From observing the list we can see that

$$T(n) = bn + a$$

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 26

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 28

We use:

© Tim French

```
\begin{array}{lll} a & \text{const for add terminating case} \\ b & \text{const for add recursive case} \\ a' & \text{const for multiply terminating case} \\ b' & \text{const for multiply recursive case} \\ x & \text{for the size of x} \\ y & \text{for the size of y} \\ T_{add}(y) & \text{time for add with 2nd argument } y \\ T(x,y) & \text{time for multiply with arguments } x \text{ and } y \\ \end{array}
```

© Tim French CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 27

Tabulate times for increasing y...

$$\begin{split} T(x,0) &= a' \\ T(x,1) &= b' + T(x,0) + T_{add}(0) = b' + a' + a \\ T(x,2) &= b' + T(x,1) + T_{add}(x) = 2b' + a' + xb + 2a \\ T(x,3) &= b' + T(x,2) + T_{add}(2x) = 3b' + a' + (xb + 2xb) + 3a \\ T(x,4) &= b' + T(x,3) + T_{add}(3x) = 4b' + a' + (xb + 2xb + 3xb) + 4a \\ &\vdots \end{split}$$

Can see a pattern of the form

$$T(x,y) = yb' + a' + [1+2+3+\cdots+(y-1)]xb + ya$$

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 29

Overall we get an equation of the form

$$a'' + b''y + c''xy + d''xy^2$$

for some constants a'', b'', c'', d''.

Dominant term is xy^2 :

- linear in x (hold y constant)
- quadratic in y (hold x constant)

There are a number of well established results for different types of problems. We will draw upon these as necessary.

We would like a closed form for the term $[1+2+3+\cdots+(y-1)]xb$.

Notice that, for example

$$1+2+3+4 = (1+4)+(2+3) = \frac{4}{2}.5$$
$$1+2+3+4+5 = (1+5)+(2+4)+3 = \frac{5}{2}.6$$

In general,

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (y - 1) = (\frac{y - 1}{2}) \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{1}{2}y$$

(Prove inductively!)

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 30

5. Summary

Choosing performance measures

- worst case simple, guarantees upper bounds
- expected case averages behaviour, need to know probability distribution
- amortized case may 'distribute' time for expensive operation over those which must accompany it

Asymptotic growth rates

- compare algorithms
- compare with inherent performance barriers
- provide simple closed form approximations
- ullet big O upper bounds on growth
- ullet big Ω lower bounds on growth

Analysis of recursive programs

- express as recurrence relation
- look for pattern to find closed form
- can then do asymptotic analysis

© Tim French

CITS2200 Performance Analysis 2: Asymptotic Analysis Slide 33