## All pairs shortest path through dynamic programming

- The all pairs shortest path problem
- Dynamic programming method
- Matrix product algorithm
- Floyd-Warshall algorithm

Reading: Weiss, Sections 7.5-7.7, CLRS chapter 15

#### All-pairs shortest paths

Recall the Shortest Path Problem.

Now we turn our attention to constructing a complete table of shortest distances, which must contain the shortest distance between any pair of vertices.

If the graph has no negative edge weights then we could simply make V runs of Dijkstra's algorithm, at a total cost of  $O(VE \lg V)$ , whereas if there are negative edge weights then we could make V runs of the Bellman-Ford algorithm at a total cost of  $O(V^2E)$ .

The two algorithms we shall examine both use the adjacency matrix representation of the graph, hence are most suitable for dense graphs. Recall that for a weighted graph the weighted adjacency matrix A has weight(i, j) as its ij-entry, where  $weight(i, j) = \infty$  if i and j are not adjacent.

### A dynamic programming method

*Dynamic programming* is a general algorithmic technique for solving problems that can be characterised by two features:

- The problem is broken down into a collection of smaller subproblems
- The solution is built up from the stored values of the solutions to all of the subproblems

For the all-pairs shortest paths problem we define the simpler problem to be

"What is the length of the shortest path from vertex i to j that uses at most m edges?"

We shall solve this for m = 1, then use that solution to solve for m = 2, and so on ...

### The initial step

We shall let  $d_{ij}^{(m)}$  denote the distance from vertex *i* to vertex *j* along a path that uses at most *m* edges, and define  $D^{(m)}$  to be the matrix whose *ij*-entry is the value  $d_{ij}^{(m)}$ .

As a shortest path between any two vertices can contain at most V-1 edges, the matrix  $D^{(V-1)}$  contains the table of all-pairs shortest paths.

Our overall plan therefore is to use  $D^{(1)}$  to compute  $D^{(2)}$ , then use  $D^{(2)}$  to compute  $D^{(3)}$  and so on.

The case m = 1

Now the matrix  $D^{(1)}$  is easy to compute — the length of a shortest path using at most one edge from i to j is simply the weight of the edge from i to j. Therefore  $D^{(1)}$  is just the adjacency matrix A.

### The inductive step

What is the smallest weight of the path from vertex i to vertex j that uses at most m edges? Now a path using at most m edges can either be

- **1.** A path using less than m edges
- **2.** A path using exactly m edges, composed of a path using m-1 edges from i to an auxiliary vertex k and the edge (k, j).

We shall take the entry  $d_{ij}^{\left(m\right)}$  to be the lowest weight path from the above choices.

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij}^{(m)} &= \min\left(d_{ij}^{(m-1)}, \min_{1 \le k \le V} \{d_{ik}^{(m-1)} + w(k, j)\}\right) \\ &= \min_{1 \le k \le V} \{d_{ik}^{(m-1)} + w(k, j)\} \end{aligned}$$

### Example

Consider the weighted graph with the following weighted adjacency matrix:

$$A = D^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & \infty & \infty \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us see how to compute an entry in  $D^{(2)}$ , suppose we are interested in the (1,3) entry:

- $1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3$  has cost 0 + 11 = 11
- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$  has cost  $\infty + 4 = \infty$
- $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3$  has cost 11 + 0 = 11
- $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3$  has cost 2 + 6 = 8
- $1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3$  has cost 6 + 6 = 12

The minimum of all of these is 8, hence the (1,3) entry of  $D^{(2)}$  is set to 8.

# Computing $D^{(2)}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & \infty & \infty \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & \infty & \infty \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 7 \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & 12 & 16 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If we multiply two matrices AB = C, then we compute

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=V} a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

If we replace the multiplication  $a_{ik}b_{kj}$  by addition  $a_{ik}+b_{kj}$  and replace summation  $\Sigma$  by the minimum min then we get

$$c_{ij} = \min_{k=1}^{k=V} a_{ik} + b_{kj}$$

which is precisely the operation we are performing to calculate our matrices.

# The remaining matrices

Proceeding to compute  $D^{(3)}$  from  $D^{(2)}$  and A, and then  $D^{(4)}$  from  $D^{(3)}$  and A we get:

$$D^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\ 10 & 14 & 0 & 12 & 15 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & \infty & 6 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad D^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\ 10 & 14 & 0 & 12 & 15 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & 20 & 6 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## A new matrix "product"

Recall the method for computing  $d_{ij}^{(m)}$ , the (i, j) entry of the matrix  $D^{(m)}$  using the method similar to matrix multiplication.

 $\begin{array}{l} d_{ij}^{(m)} \leftarrow \infty \\ \text{for } k = 1 \text{ to } V \text{ do} \\ d_{ij}^{(m)} = \min(d_{ij}^{(m)}, d_{ik}^{(m-1)} + w(k, j)) \\ \text{end for} \end{array}$ 

Let us use  $\star$  to denote this new matrix product. Then we have

$$D^{(m)} = D^{(m-1)} \star A$$

Hence it is an easy matter to see that we can compute as follows:

$$D^{(2)} = A \star A$$
  $D^{(3)} = D^{(2)} \star A \dots$ 

#### Complexity of this method

The time taken for this method is easily seen to be  $O(V^4)$  as it performs V matrix "multiplications" each of which involves a triply nested for loop with each variable running from 1 to V.

However we can reduce the complexity of the algorithm by remembering that we do not need to compute *all* the intermediate products  $D^{(1)}$ ,  $D^{(2)}$ and so on, but we are only interested in  $D^{(V-1)}$ . Therefore we can simply compute:

$$D^{(2)} = A \star A$$
$$D^{(4)} = D^{(2)} \star D^{(2)}$$
$$D^{(8)} = D^{(4)} \star D^{(4)}$$

Therefore we only need to do this operation at most  $\lg V$  times before we reach the matrix we want. The time required is therefore actually  $O(V^3 \lceil \lg V \rceil)$ .

### Floyd-Warshall

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm uses a different dynamic programming formalism.

For this algorithm we shall define  $d_{ij}^{(k)}$  to be the length of the shortest path from *i* to *j* whose intermediate vertices all lie in the set  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ . As before, we shall define  $D^{(k)}$  to be the matrix whose (i, j) entry is  $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ .

The initial case

What is the matrix  $D^{(0)}$  — the entry  $d_{ij}^{(0)}$  is the length of the shortest path from *i* to *j* with *no* intermediate vertices. Therefore  $D^{(0)}$  is simply the adjacency matrix A.

### The inductive step

For the inductive step we assume that we have constructed already the matrix  $D^{(k-1)}$  and wish to use it to construct the matrix  $D^{(k)}$ .

Let us consider all the paths from i to j whose intermediate vertices lie in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . There are two possibilities for such paths

(1) The path does not use vertex k

(2) The path does use vertex k

The shortest possible length of all the paths in category (1) is given by  $d_{ij}^{(k-1)}$  which we already know.

If the path does use vertex k then it must go from vertex i to k and then proceed on to j, and the length of the shortest path in this category is  $d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)}$ .

### The overall algorithm

The overall algorithm is then simply a matter of running V times through a loop, with each entry being assigned as the minimum of two possibilities. Therefore the overall complexity of the algorithm is just  $O(V^3)$ .

```
\begin{array}{l} D^{(0)} \leftarrow A \\ \textbf{for } k = 1 \textbf{ to } V \textbf{ do} \\ \textbf{for } i = 1 \textbf{ to } V \textbf{ do} \\ \textbf{for } j = 1 \textbf{ to } V \textbf{ do} \\ d^{(k)}_{ij} = \min(d^{(k-1)}_{ij}, d^{(k-1)}_{ik} + d^{(k-1)}_{kj}) \\ \textbf{end for } j \\ \textbf{end for } i \\ \textbf{end for } k \end{array}
```

At the end of the procedure we have the matrix  $D^{(V)}$  whose (i, j) entry contains the length of the shortest path from i to j, all of whose vertices lie in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, V\}$  — in other words, the shortest path in total.

## Example

Consider the weighted directed graph with the following adjacency matrix:

$$D^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & \infty & \infty \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & \infty & \infty \\ \infty & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad D^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & & \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & & \\ \infty & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To find the (2, 4) entry of this matrix we have to consider the paths through the vertex 1 — is there a path from 2 - 1 - 4 that has a better value than the current path? If so, then that entry is updated.

# The entire sequence of matrices

$$D^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 7 \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & 12 & 16 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ \infty & \infty & 6 & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad D^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 11 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 7 \\ 10 & \infty & 0 & 12 & 16 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & \infty & 6 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$D^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\ 10 & 14 & 0 & 12 & 15 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & 20 & 6 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad D^{(5)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 6 \\ 10 & 14 & 0 & 12 & 15 \\ 3 & 2 & 6 & 0 & 3 \\ 16 & 20 & 6 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Finding the actual shortest paths

In both of these algorithms we have not addressed the question of actually finding the paths themselves.

For the Floyd-Warshall algorithm this is achieved by constructing a further sequence of arrays  $P^{(k)}$  whose (i, j) entry contains a predecessor of jon the path from i to j. As the entries are updated the predecessors will change — if the matrix entry is not changed then the predecessor does not change, but if the entry does change, because the path originally from i to jbecomes re-routed through the vertex k, then the predecessor of j becomes the predecessor of j on the path from k to j.