



Unit title: **Systems Programming** Unit code: **CITS2002**

Campus: **Crawley** Teaching period: **SEM-2 2016**

Start Date: **01/08/2016** End Date: **26/11/2016**

Faculty: **Engineering, Computing and Mathematics**

School: **Computer Science and Software Engineering**

Number of unit enrolments: **201**

Number of Surf evaluations: **68**\*\*\*

Response rate: **33.8%**\*\*

**Percentage of Responses**

**Average Responses\***

Question	Percentage of Responses				Average Responses*			
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Unit	School	Faculty	UWA
Q1. It was clear what I was expected to learn in this unit	6	21	41	32	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.3
Q2. The assessment requirements were clearly stated	7	19	35	38	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.3
Q3. The assessment tasks were closely linked to the unit objectives	3	9	53	35	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.4
Q4. The unit was well organised	7	18	34	41	3.1	2.8	3.1	3.2
Q5. The learning resources (handouts, text, web resources, etc) were adequate for my study in the unit	10	22	37	31	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.2
Q6. Overall, this unit was a good educational experience	9	12	36	43	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.3

\* The average of responses after quantifying them as follows: Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Agree=3 and Strongly Agree=4. The mid-point of this scale is a rating of 2.5.

\*\* Response rate is calculated using the number of enrolments at the SURF Start date

\*\*\* To protect student anonymity, where there are fewer than 6 evaluations, results for the unit are not shown.